

PO BOX 33427

ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,933		12/22/2000	David J.W. Aastuen	49837USA 4K/051 3760	
32692	7590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNO	VATIVE	PROPERTIES CO	SHAFER, RICKY D		

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/746,933	BRUZZONE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed rs will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 /	August 2004.					
_						
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 22-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-19,22-24 and 26 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 25 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	is/are withdrawn from considerati	on.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner. From the according of the	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	n nioriku undan 35 H C C - \$ 440/a) (d) or (0				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 08/31/2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 in the appeal brief filed 08/3 1/2004 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knox ('626) in view of Wilder et al ('809) or Smith ('621).

Knox discloses a projection system comprising an illumination system (210), a polarizing beam splitter (220), the polarizing beam splitter defines a first tilt axis with respect to the axis (221) of the illumination system, a color separation prism (530), the prism assembly having a

Art Unit: 2872

second tilt axis with respect to said axis (221), wherein the polarizing beam splitter and the prism assembly are arranged such that the first and second tilt axes are perpendicular to each other,

Note Fig. 15 along with the associated description thereof, except for the polarizing beam splitter being a Cartesian type polarizing beam splitter.

Wilder et al and Smith each teach it is well known to use Cartesian type polarizing beam splitters in the form of a cube having a polarizing film disposed along a diagonal plane in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of reflecting a first polarization component and transmitting a second polarization component orthogonal to said first polarization component.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the polarizing beam splitter of Knox to include an function equivalent Cartesian type polarizing beam splitter, as taught by Wilder et al or Smith, in order to similarly reflect a first polarization component and transmit a second polarization component orthogonal to said first polarization component.

- 5. Claims 1-12 and 25 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

Art Unit: 2872

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

January 10, 2005

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER